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New EXCEPT, INTERSECT and MINUS Operators

This release of Oracle Rdb adds three new operators the select expression syntax. The new operators EXCEPT, INTERSECT and MINUS are all forms of select table merge operations, and therefore have the same format as the UNION and UNION ALL operators.

**EXCEPT (or EXCEPT DISTINCT)**

The EXCEPT DISTINCT operator is used to create a result table from the first select expression except for those row values that also occur in the second select expression.

DISTINCT is an optional keyword, but EXCEPT and EXCEPT DISTINCT are identical operations. EXCEPT conforms to the ANSI and ISO SQL:1999 Database Language Standard.

The EXCEPT operator is not commutative. That is, A EXCEPT B may result in a different set of rows from B EXCEPT A. This is demonstrated by the examples below.

**INTERSECT (or INTERSECT DISTINCT)**

The INTERSECT DISTINCT operator is used to create a result table from the first select expression those row values that also occur in the second select expression.

DISTINCT is an optional keyword but INTERSECT and INTERSECT DISTINCT are identical operations. INTERSECT conforms to the ANSI and ISO SQL:1999 Database Language Standard.
In general the INTERSECT operator is commutative. That is, A INTERSECT B results in the same set of rows from B INTERSECT A. This is demonstrated by the examples below. However, care should be taken when using LIMIT TO within the different branches of the INTERSECT as this may make the result non deterministic because of different solution strategies employed by the Rdb optimizer.

**MINUS**

The MINUS operator is a synonym for the EXCEPT DISTINCT operator and is provided for language compatibility with the Oracle RDBMS SQL language.

**UNION (or UNION DISTINCT)**

Please refer to the existing Rdb documentation for detailed information on the UNION operator. Rdb 7.1 adds the optional keyword DISTINCT. UNION and UNION DISTINCT are identical operations. This is part of the ANSI and ISO SQL:1999 Database Language Standard.

**CORRESPONDING**

The UNION, EXCEPT, MINUS, and INTERSECT operators can be followed by the keyword CORRESPONDING. This causes the two select lists to be compared by name. Only column names that appear in both lists are retained for the resulting query table.

The name is either the column name, or the name provided by the AS clause. If there are no names in common, or a column name appears more than once in a select list then an error is reported.
**Usage Notes**

(a) The EXCEPT DISTINCT operator can be replaced by the NOT EXISTS predicate. The Rdb server currently implements EXCEPT DISTINCT by rewriting the query as described here. Consider this example:

```sql
SQL> select manager_id from departments
  > except distinct
  > select employee_id from employees;
```

This query could be rewritten as:

```sql
SQL> select manager_id
  > from departments d
  > where not exists (select *
  >     from employees e
  >     where e.employee_id = d.manager_id
  >     or (e.employee_id is null
  >         and d.manager_id is null));
```

This example shows that the EXCEPT format is easier to read. As the number of columns selected increases so does the complexity of the NOT EXISTS subquery.

(b) The INTERSECT DISTINCT operator can be replaced by the EXISTS predicate. The Rdb server currently implements INTERSECT DISTINCT by rewriting the query as described here. Consider this example that displays all managers that are also employees:

```sql
SQL> select manager_id from departments
  > intersect distinct
  > select employee_id from employees;
```
This query could be rewritten as:

```
SQL> select manager_id
       from departments d
       where exists (select *
          from employees e
          where e.employee_id = d.manager_id
          or (e.employee_id is null
          and d.manager_id is null));
```

This example shows that the INTERSECT format is easier to read. As the number of columns selected increases so does the complexity of the EXISTS subquery.

(c) For both EXCEPT and INTERSECT all duplicate rows are eliminated. For the purposes of these operators a row is considered a duplicate if each value in the first select list is equal to the matching column in the second select list, or if both these columns are NULL.

The duplicate matching semantics can be clearly seen in the rewritten queries which use NOT EXISTS and EXISTS.

**Examples**

The following section shows examples of these new operators.

**Example 1: Using CORRESPONDING as a shorthand for the select list**

This example derives results from tables with some column names in common. Here the RETIRED_EMPLOYEES table contains a subset of the columns from EMPLOYEES (EMPLOYEE_ID and LAST_NAME) as well as some new columns to describe the retired employee (e.g. RETIRE_DATE). CORRESPONDING is used to match the common columns names and produce this report.
Example 2: Changing a result name by applying the AS clause

This example show the use of the AS clause to provide the same name to the AVG statistical expression in each part of the UNION clause. CORRESPONDING will align these two renamed columns. Without the AS clause these column expressions would be eliminated from the UNION result table.

```sql
SQL> select pnum, 
    cont> avg(weight) as AVG edit using 'ZZZZ99.99'
    cont> from p
    cont> group by pnum
    cont> union corresponding
    cont> select pnum,
    cont>     avg(qty) as AVG
    cont> from spj
    cont> group by pnum;
    PNUM     AVG
    P1       12.00
    P1       333.33
    P2       17.00
    P2       150.00
    P3       17.00
    P3       388.89
    P4       14.00
    P4       650.00
    P5       12.00
    P5       450.00
    P6       19.00
    P6       325.00
12 rows selected
SQL>
```
**Example 3: EXCEPT DISTINCT operator**

Here we use UNION DISTINCT to derive the full set of EMPLOYEE_ID values. Since all managers are also employees this list should return the same as a query on EMPLOYEES. It is used here so show the differences between these similarly structured operators.

```
SQL> select manager_id from departments
cont> union distinct
cont> select employee_id from employees;
  MANAGER_ID
   00164
   00165
   00166
   ...
   00435
   00471
100 rows selected
```

Make sure that all managers are also employees. List all managers who are not employees. The results show that there are no managers in this list.

```
SQL> select manager_id from departments
cont> except distinct
cont> select employee_id from employees;
0 rows selected
```

List all employees who are not managers. Or, stated in a different way list all employees, except those that are managers.
Simply reversing the order of the select expressions from the previous query will perform this query. Note that we get quite a different result.

```
SQL> select employee_id from employees
    except distinct
    select manager_id from departments;
EMPLOYEE_ID
  00165
  00167
  00169
  .
  .
  00416
  00435
74 rows selected
```

**Example 4: INTERSECT DISTINCT operator**

Show the managers who are also employees.

```
SQL> select manager_id from departments
    intersect distinct
    select employee_id from employees;
MANAGER_ID
  00164
  00166
  00168
  .
  .
  00418
  00471
26 rows selected
```
INTERSECT DISTINCT is commutative, so reversing the select expressions will yield in the same result set. However, the same ordering of these rows is not guaranteed unless an ORDER BY clause is applied to the result.

```
SQL> select employee_id from employees
cont> intersect distinct
cont> select manager_id from departments;
EMPLOYEE_ID
  00164
  00166
  00168
  .
  .
  .
  00418
  00471
26 rows selected
```