



Java is a trademark of Sun Microsystems, Inc.



JavaOneSM

Real-World Processes with WS-BPEL

Murali Pottlapelli
Ron Ten-Hove

Sun Microsystems, Inc
Application Platform Software Engineering

WS-BPEL Is Incomplete

Real-world applications need more capabilities
than WS-BPEL 2.0 delivers

About Murali Pottlapelli

- > Senior Staff Engineer in SOA/BI product group
- > 5 years implementing and refining BPEL engines, started with BPEL 1.0 in 2004
- > 15 years in delivering software products and solutions

About Ron Ten-Hove

- > Senior Staff Engineer in IdM product group
- > Many years in SOA + integration product engineering
 - Workflow engine product engineering
 - JSR 208 Co-spec Lead
 - Member of the OASIS WS-BPEL technical committee
- > Murky past in industrial automation, oil & gas
- > <http://blogs.sun.com/rtenhove/>

Not the Agenda

- > Variety of real-world problems
 - Hard to do in plain WS-BPEL 2.0
- > One or more solutions
 - Extension of BPEL
 - BPEL engine implementation feature
 - Under-utilized BPEL language feature (that is actually useful)
- > Not a BPEL tutorial
- > Extensions & implementation for BPELSE

BPEL feature - Correlation

- > Declaration is very tedious
 - Multiple artifacts to define/edit
 - Property
 - PropertyAlias
 - CorrelationSet
 - Associate with messaging activity
 - Multiple files to edit

BPEL feature - Correlation Solution

Demo of Correlation Wizard

Implementation feature – Reliability

Problem

- > Business processes can span long time periods
 - Insurance claims take days and weeks!
- > Maintenance downtime
- > Hardware or software failure leading to downtime
- > Crashes should:
 - Be short
 - Not lose process instances or data

Implementation feature – Reliability

Solution

- > Business process instance execution state is persisted
 - Business process instance is a state machine – state changes on each activity
 - Some activities are idempotent – no need to persist
 - Some activities are not – persist state
- > Recover instance state from the persisted state on restart
- > Continue execution from the last persisted state

Implementation feature – High Availability

- > Business needs processes to be highly available
 - GlassFish ESB supports GlassFish cluster
 - All the nodes in the cluster are homogeneous
 - Available nodes continue to service the requests
 - Business process instances on the failed nodes migrate to available nodes
 - Leasing algorithm is used for detecting failed nodes
 - Instances owned by failed nodes are acquired by available nodes.
 - BPEL-SE needs to be configured with high availability database

Implementation feature – Scalability

Problem

- > Business processes instance life spans a few hours to several days
 - Most of the time waiting for an event or timeout
- > Business process instance state is consuming memory even when there is no activity
- > It limits concurrent instances executed for a given memory
 - BPEL variable foot print – message size - 10KB to 10 MB
 - Business process instance itself consumes memory

Implementation feature – Scalability

Solution

- > Dehydrate business process instance state when waiting on an event
- > Load business process instance state into memory when waiting event arrives
- > Dehydrate and hydrate is expensive – Only do when needed and configurable
 - Threshold 1 – available to total memory falls below this ratio – Dehydrate variables for waiting instances
 - Threshold 2 – available to total memory falls below this ratio – Dehydrate waiting instances

Implementation feature – Retry

Problem

- > Services aren't always available, and `<invoke>` doesn't support retries.
- > BPEL logic to do retries is UGLY, and hides the real business logic

Implementation feature – Retry

Solution

- > Retry on failed <Invoke> message delivery
 - Configurable number of retries
 - Configurable delay between retries
- > Outcome is success - continue with execution
- > Outcome is error - Configurable actions
 - Route message to dead letter queue
 - Suspend BP instance
 - Propagate error to process – either terminate or handle it in Fault Handler

<Demo>

Implementation feature – Throttling

Problem

- > Services have limit on the concurrent consumers
 - Due to resource constraint
 - SLAs
 - Bugs or malice
- > BPEL has no mechanism to specify limit on concurrent invocations for <invoke>
- > No way to limit <receive> *et al.*

Implementation feature – Throttling

Solution

- > Configure concurrent invocation limit on Partner Link
 - Queue requests over limit
 - As requests complete, queue is drained FIFO
- > Multiple invokes sharing Partner Link share limit

<Demo>

BPEL Extension – Protocol Headers

Problem

- > Ideally business process should
 - Work with abstract WSDLs,
 - Independent of communication protocols
- > Often partners/vendors provide business data in headers
- > Real-world is different from theory, to interact with systems in the field, need access to protocol-specific data

BPEL Extension – Protocol Headers Solution

- > Provided access to protocol specific data
 - Both get & set
- > Access to headers by extension on <from> and <to>

```
<copy>
  <from variable="inputVar" sxnmp:nmProperty="org.glassfish.openesb.inbound.address.url"/>
  <to>$outputVar.resultType/ns2:paramA</to>
</copy>
</assign>
```

- > Access to header by extensions on property-alias

```
<vprop:property name="CONTENTTYPE" type="xsd:string"/>
<vprop:propertyAlias messageType="ns:accessCONTENTTYPEOperationRequest" part="part1"
  propertyName="tns:CONTENTTYPE"
  sxnmp:nmProperty="org.glassfish.openesb.inbound.http.headers">
  <vprop:query>content-type</vprop:query>
</vprop:propertyAlias>
```

BPEL Extension – Protocol Headers

Solution

- > Demo Netbeans BPEL mapper
 - soap headers
 - http headers
 - jms properties
 - file properties

<Demo>

BPEL Extension – Attachments

Problem

- > Documents needs to be routed from one service to other service
 - Pipeline company example
- > Some partners handle the documents as attachment
- > Other partners handle the document in-line

BPEL Extension – Attachments

Solution

- > Added extensions to <copy> element
 - “inlined” - makes the binary attachment to base64 in-lined on target variable

```
<copy xmlns:sxat="http://www.sun.com/wsbpel/2.0/process/executable/SUNExtension/Attachment"
      sxat:binaryCopy="inlined">
  <from variable="FileReadVar" part="part1"/>
  <to>$FileWriteVar.part1/ns0:ContentData</to>
</copy>
```

- “attachment” - transforms in-lined base64 to binary attachment on target variable
- Default – copy's attachment from-variable to target-variable

Pop Quiz

> What does this conditional BPEL do?

```
<!-- $booVar is type xsd:boolean -->
```

```
<assign><from>"false"</from>  
    <to>$boolVar</to></assign>
```

```
<if><condition>$boolVar</condition>  
    <terminate/>  
    <else>  
        <invoke .../>  
    </else>  
</if>
```

BPEL Extension – XPath 1.0 Limitations

Problem

- > Why is false true? Given schema & document you expect the condition would return false
- > XPath 1.0 returns true, as “boolVar” is non empty node

WS-BPEL Extension – Schema awareness

Solution

- > BPEL-SE uses XPath for evaluating XPath expressions. It is enhanced to be schema aware
 - No false true
 - No .0 for integer numbers

BPEL Extension – XPath 1.0 Limitations

Problem

- > XPath 1.0 is very limited in functionality
- > It is impossible to set a unique id on pay load
- > It is challenging to extract expensive items in a purchase order document, it spans multiple activities

<Demo>

BPEL Extension – Java functions

Solution

- > Java calls can be embedded in any XPath expression, syntax is adopted from Xalan
 - < from >
 - < to >
 - < condition >
- > It facilitates "write your own XPath extension function"
- > Java calls also can be embedded in XSLT style sheets invoked from `bpel:doXslTransform`

<Demo>

BPEL Extension – Javascript

Another Solution

- > Native XML Scripting with E4X makes using Javascript very attractive
- > Use case “extract expensive items from PO” in Javascript

<Demo>

BPEL Extension–Fault Handler Extensions

Problem

- > Standard faults have no error message
 - Tough to debug
- > Standard fault versus non-standard
 - Hard to distinguish
 - Complicates fault handlers no end!
 - Multiple catches for standard faults
 - Carries WSDL 1.1 fault model too far

BPEL Extension–Fault Handler Extensions

Solution

- > Errors and fault not defined in WSDL propagated as `sxeh:systemFault`
- > Associated errors wrapped in `sxeh:faultMessage`
- > BPEL standard faults are associated with `sxeh:faultMessage`
 - carries failure details like activity name
- > `<catch faultMessageType="sxeh:faultMessage" faultVariable="faultVar">` catches all standard and system fault

BPEL feature – Event Handlers

Problem

- > While process is in progress
 - Query the status
 - Cancel the process
 - Modify the process
- ... all asynchronously

BPEL feature – Event Handlers

Solution

- > WS-BPEL provides concurrent message processing to normal flow
 - OnEvents - possible in-bound messages
 - OnAlarm - timer events

BPEL feature – Dynamic Addressing

Problem

- > Business process needs to provide address to its partner for call back
- > Business process determines service provider's address and invokes the service.
 - It could be simple look up based on callers membership level
 - Caller supplies the address in the payload

BPEL feature – Dynamic Addressing

Solution

- > WS-BPEL provides a to-spec variation in Assign to set partner's address
- > A from-spec variation to get address from myRole

Q&A

Resources

- > GlassFish ESB (aka OpenESB)
<https://openesb.dev.java.net/>



JavaOneSM

Thank You

Murali Pottlapelli
Murali.Pottlapelli@Sun.COM

Ron Ten-Hove
Captain.Canuck@Sun.COM

