



Java is a trademark of Sun Microsystems, Inc.

JavaOneSM

Contexts and Dependency Injection for JavaTM EE

An introduction to JSR-299

Gavin King

gavin@hibernate.org

<http://in.relation.to/Bloggers/Gavin>

Why do you care about Java EE 6?

- > The EE 6 web profile removes most of the “cruft” that has developed over the years
 - mainly totally useless things like web services, EJB 2 entity beans
 - some useful stuff like JMS™ is also missing, but vendors can include it if they like
- > EJB 3.1 - a whole bunch of cool new functionality!
- > JPA 2.0 - typesafe criteria query API, O/R mapping features
- > JSF 2.0 - finally fixes the problems!
- > Bean Validation 1.0 - annotation-based validation API
- > Servlet 3.0 - async support, better support for frameworks
- > Contexts and Dependency Injection for Java EE

What is JSR-299?

- > JSR-299 defines a unifying dependency injection and contextual lifecycle model for Java EE 6
 - a completely new, richer dependency management model
 - designed for use with stateful objects
 - integrates the “web” and “transactional” tiers
 - makes it much easier to build applications using JSF and EJB together
 - includes a complete SPI allowing third-party frameworks to integrate cleanly in the EE 6 environment

The theme

> *Loose coupling...*

- decouple server and client via well-defined types and “binding types”
 - so that the server implementation may vary
- decouple lifecycle of collaborating components
 - components are contextual, with automatic lifecycle management
 - allows stateful components to interact like services, purely by message-passing
- decouple orthogonal concerns
 - via interceptors
- completely decouple message producer from consumer
 - via events

The theme

> *..with strong typing!*

- eliminate lookup using string-based names
 - the compiler will detect typing errors
 - you don't need special authoring tools to get autocompletion, etc
- everything specified using declarative Java annotations
 - don't need verbose XML
 - easy to write tools that introspect the code and understand the dependency structure at development time

What's unique?

- > Implementations of a types may vary at deployment time - without the need for a central list of available implementations!
 - No need to explicitly list beans in XML (Spring, HiveMind, etc)
 - Nor even using a Java-based DSL (Guice)

What kinds of things can be injected?

- > Certain kinds of things pre-defined by the specification:
 - (Almost) any Java class
 - EJB session beans
 - Objects returned by producer methods
 - Java EE resources (Datasources, JMS topics/queues, etc)
 - Persistence contexts (JPA **EntityManager**)
 - Web service references
 - Remote EJBs references
- > Plus anything else you can think of!
 - An SPI allows third-party frameworks to introduce new kinds of things

Simple example

> A really simple Java class:

```
public class Greeting {  
    public String greet(String name) {  
        return "hello " + name;  
    }  
}
```

EJB example

- > The class could be an EJB:

```
@Stateless
public class Greeting {

    @RolesAllowed("friend")
    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hello " + name;
    }
}
```

Field injection

> A simple client:

```
public class Printer {  
    @Current Greeting greeting;  
  
    public void greet() {  
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("world") );  
    }  
}
```

Constructor injection

> Or, using constructor injection:

```
public class Printer {  
    private Greeting greeting;  
  
    public Printer(Greeting greeting) {  
        this.greeting = greeting;  
    }  
  
    public void greet() {  
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("world") );  
    }  
}
```

Initializer method injection

> Or, using initializer method injection:

```
public class Printer {  
    private Greeting greeting;  
  
    @Initializer  
    void init(Greeting greeting) {  
        this.greeting = greeting;  
    }  
  
    public void greet() {  
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("world") );  
    }  
}
```

Binding types

- > A *binding type* is an annotation that lets a client choose between multiple implementations of a certain type (class or interface)
 - Binding types replace lookup via string-based names
 - `@Current` is the default binding type

Defining binding types

- > Define a new binding type:

```
public
@BindingType
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target({TYPE, METHOD, FIELD, PARAMETER})
@interface Informal {}
```

Declaring bindings

- > Same type, different implementation:

```
public
@Informal
class InformalGreeting extends Greeting {

    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hi " + name;
    }

}
```

Declaring injection point bindings

- > A client of the new implementation:

```
public class Printer {  
    @Informal Greeting greeting;  
  
    public void greet() {  
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("JavaOne") );  
    }  
}
```

EL names

- > To use our class in Unified EL expressions, give it a name:

```
public
@Named("printer")
class Printer {

    @Current Greeting greeting;

    public void greet() {
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("world") );
    }

}
```

EL name defaulting

- > Well, actually, that name can be defaulted:

```
public
@Named
class Printer {

    @Current Greeting greeting;

    public void greet() {
        System.out.println( greeting.greet("world") );
    }

}
```

Unified EL

- > Now we can use the object in a JSF or JSP page:

```
<h:commandButton value="Say Hello"  
                 action="#{printer.greet}"/>
```

A stateful class

- > If we want our object to hold state, we need to declare the scope of that state:

```
public
@RequestScoped
@Named
class Printer {

    @Current Greeting greeting;
    private String name;

    public void setName(String name) { this.name=name; }
    public String getName() { return name; }

    public void greet() {
        System.out.println( greeting.greet(name) );
    }

}
```

Unified EL

- > And now we can use it to process a JSF form:

```
<h:form>  
  <h:inputText value="#{printer.name}"/>  
  <h:commandButton value="Say Hello"  
    action="#{printer.greet}"/>  
</h:form>
```

Scopes and contexts

- > Extensible context model
 - A scope type is an annotation
 - A context implementation can be associated with the scope type
- > Dependent scope, **@Dependent** (the default)
 - it means that an object exists to serve exactly one client, and has the same lifecycle as that client
- > Built-in scopes:
 - Any web request, web service request, RMI call, EJB timeout:
 - **@ApplicationScoped**, **@RequestScoped**
 - Any servlet:
 - **@SessionScoped**
 - JSF requests:

Scoped objects

- > A session-scoped object

```
public
@SessionScoped
class Login {

    private User user;

    public void login() {
        user = ...;
    }

    public User getUser() { return user; }

}
```

Injecting a scoped object

- > The client doesn't know anything about the lifecycle of the session-scoped object:

```
public
@Named
class Printer {

    @Current Greeting greeting;
    @Current Login login;

    public void greet() {
        System.out.println(
            greeting.greet( login.getUser().getName() ) );
    }
}
```

Custom scopes

- > It's easy to create the annotation for a custom scope:

```
public
@ScopeType
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target({TYPE, METHOD})
@interface BusinessProcessScoped {}
```

- > After this, the hard work begins!
 - implement the **Context** SPI

Producer methods

- > Producer methods allow control over the production of the injected instance
 - For runtime polymorphism
 - For control over initialization
 - Allow injection of classes we don't control, that don't satisfy the normal requirements of a class that may be injected
 - For further decoupling of a “producer” of state from the “consumer”

Declaring producer methods

> Simple producer method:

```
public
@SessionScoped
class Login {

    private User user;

    public void login() {
        user = ...;
    }

    @Produces
    User getUser() { return user; }

}
```

Client of a producer method

- > No more dependency to **Login!**

```
public class Printer {  
    @Current Hello hello;  
    @Current User user;  
  
    public void hello() {  
        System.out.println(  
            hello.hello( user.getName() ) );  
    }  
}
```

Scoped producer methods

- > Producer methods may have a scope:

```
public
@RequestScoped
class Login {

    private User user;

    public void login() {
        user = ...;
    }

    @Produces @SessionScoped
    User getUser() { return user; }

}
```

- > they may even have bindings, names, etc...

Producer fields

- > Producer fields are really just a shortcut:

```
public
@RequestScoped
class Login {

    @Produces @SessionScoped User user;

    public void login() {
        user = ...;
    }

}
```

Declaring Java EE resources

- > To inject Java EE resources, persistence contexts, web service references, remote EJB references, etc, we use a special kind of producer field declaration:

```
public class UserDatabasePersistenceContext {  
  
    @Produces @UserDatabase  
    @PersistenceContext(unitName="userDB")  
    EntityManager userDatabase;  
  
}
```

```
public class PricesTopic {  
  
    @Produces @Prices  
    @Resource(name="java:global/env/jms/Prices")  
    Topic pricesTopic;  
  
}
```

Injecting Java EE resources

- > Now we've eliminated the use of string-based names:

```
public class UserDatabasePersistenceContext {  
    @UserDatabase EntityManager userDatabase;  
}
```

```
public class PricesTopic {  
    @Prices TopicSession topicSession;  
    @Prices TopicPublisher topicPublisher;  
}
```

Deployment types

- > A *deployment type* is an annotation that identifies a deployment scenario
 - Deployment types may be enabled or disabled, allowing whole sets of implementations to be easily enabled or disabled at deployment time
 - Deployment types have a precedence, allowing the container to choose between various implementations of a type
 - Deployment types replace verbose XML configuration documents or Java-based DSLs
- > Default deployment type: **@Production**

Defining a deployment type

- > Define a custom deployment type:

```
public
@DeploymentType
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target({TYPE, METHOD})
@interface Espanol {}
```

- > (Actually, we don't really use deployment types for i18n, since the locale depends upon the user, not the deployment!)

Declaring the deployment type

- > Same type, different deployment type:

```
public
@Espanol
class Saludo extends Greeting {

    public String greet(String nombre) {
        return "hola " + nombre;
    }

}
```

Enabled deployment types

- > Implementation depends upon which deployment types are enabled:

```
<beans>

  <deploy>
    <deployment-type>
      javax.enterprise.inject.deployment.Production
    </deployment-type>
    <deployment-type>
      org.jboss.myapp.Espanol
    </deployment-type>
  </deploy>

</beans>
```

Conversation context

- > Spans multiple requests
- > “Smaller” than session
- > Allows multi-window / multi-tab operation
- > Corresponds to an optimistic transaction
 - conversation-scoped managed persistence context
 - solves problems with optimistic locking and lazy fetching

Controlling the conversation context

- > The conversation context is demarcated by the application:

```
public
@ConversationScoped
class NumberGuess {

    @Current Conversation conversation;

    private int number;
    private int min;
    private int max;

    @Initializer
    void start(@Random int random) {
        conversation.begin();
        number = random;
        min = 1;
        max = 100;
    }
}
```

...

Controlling the conversation context

- > The conversation context is demarcated by the application:

...

```
public boolean guess(int guess) {  
    if (guess==number) {  
        conversation.end();  
        return true;  
    }  
    else {  
        if (guess<number && guess>min) {  
            min=guess;  
        }  
        else if (guess>number && guess<max) {  
            max=guess;  
        }  
        return false;  
    }  
}  
}
```

Interceptors

- > The package `javax.interceptor` defines method and lifecycle interception APIs
 - this is good stuff, except for the use of `@Interceptors(...)` to bind interceptors directly to a component
- > Interceptor should be completely decoupled from implementation
 - via semantic annotations
- > Interceptor classes should be deployment-specific
 - disable transaction and security interceptors during testing
- > Interceptor ordering should be defined centrally

Interceptor binding types

- > Define an interceptor binding type:

```
public
@InterceptorBindingType
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target({TYPE, METHOD})
@interface Secure {}
```

Declaring interceptor bindings of an interceptor

> Interceptor implementation:

```
public
@Secure
@Interceptor
class SecurityInterceptor {

    @AroundInvoke
    public Object aroundInvoke(InvocationContext ctx) {
        ...
    }
}
```

Class-level interceptor bindings

> Class-level interceptor:

```
public
@Secure
class Greeting {

    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hello " + name;
    }

}
```

Method-level interceptor bindings

> Method-level interceptor:

```
public class Greeting {  
    @Secure  
    public String greet(String name) {  
        return "hello " + name;  
    }  
}
```

Interceptor binding types

> Multiple interceptors:

```
public
@Transactional
class Greeting {

    @Secure
    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hello " + name;
    }
}
```

Enabled interceptors

- > Interceptor ordering and enablement:

```
<beans>
```

```
  <interceptors>
```

```
    <class>org.jboss.framework.SecurityInterceptor</class>
```

```
    <class>org.jboss.framework.TransactionInterceptor</class>
```

```
  </interceptors>
```

```
</beans>
```

Reusing interceptor bindings

- > Interceptor binding types may be applied to other interceptor binding types:

```
public
@Secure
@Transactional
@InterceptorBindingType
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target(TYPE)
@interface Action {}
```

Interceptor binding types

> Interceptor binding inheritance:

```
public
@Action
class Greeting {

    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hello " + name;
    }

}
```

Stereotypes

- > It is not only interceptor bindings we want to reuse!
- > We have common architectural “patterns” in our application, with recurring component roles
 - Capture the roles using stereotypes
- > A *stereotype* packages:
 - A default deployment type
 - A default scope
 - A set of interceptor bindings
 - May specify that beans have names by default

Defining stereotypes

> Defining a new stereotype:

```
public
@Secure
@Transactional
@RequestScoped
@Named
@Production
@Stereotype
@Retention(RUNTIME)
@Target(TYPE)
@interface Action {}
```

Declaring stereotypes

> Using a stereotype:

```
public
@Action
class Greeting {

    public String greet(String name) {
        return "hello " + name;
    }

}
```

Event producers

> Event producer:

```
public class Login {  
    @Any Event<LoggedIn> loggedInEvent;  
  
    public void login() {  
        User user = ...;  
        loggedInEvent.fire( new LoggedIn(user) );  
    }  
}
```

Event consumers

> Event consumer:

```
public class Printer {  
    void onLogin(@Observes LoggedIn loggedIn,  
                Greeting greeting) {  
        System.out.println(  
            greeting.greet( loggedIn.getUser().getName() ) );  
    }  
}
```

Event producers with binding types

- > Events may also use binding types:

```
public class Login {  
    @LoggedIn Event<User> loggedInEvent;  
  
    public void login() {  
        User user = ...;  
        loggedInEvent.fire(user);  
    }  
}
```

Event consumers with binding types

> Event consumer:

```
public class Printer {  
    void onLogin(@Observes @LoggedIn User user,  
                Greeting greeting) {  
        System.out.println( greeting.greet( user.getName() ) );  
    }  
}
```

More information

> Public draft:

- <http://www.jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=299>

> Reference Implementation:

- <http://seamframework.org/WebBeans>

> RI Documentation:

- <http://docs.jboss.org/webbeans/reference/current/en-US/html/>

> Blog:

- <http://in.relation.to/Bloggers/Everyone/Tag/Web+Beans>



JavaOneSM

Thank You

Speaker Name: 48pt
Contact Info: 36pt

Misc. Info: 32pt

