```
// Delete comments before final submission.
// make sure that the response fits within 80 columns
0123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345
56789
1. Introduction
    1.1. Project/Component Working Name:
        // Name of the Project or Component
    1.2. Name(s) and e-mail address of Document Author(s)/Supplier:
        // The individual who are wrote this document
        // Name: email address
    1.3. Date of This Document:
        // MM/DD/YY
2. Project Summary
    2.1. Project Description:
        // A SHORT description of this project suitable for use
        // on dashboards and status rollups.
        // See below for a longer, more detailed technical description
    2.2. Risks and Assumptions:
        // Note any risks, and assumptions that must be considered along
        // with the proposal. Include technical risks.
3. Problem Summary
    3.1. Problem Area:
        // What problem or need does this project solve?
    3.2. Justification:
        // Why is it important to do this project?
4. Technical Description:
     4.1. Details:
        // To the extent known, how is this project going to be done?
        // This information is used by the reviewer to get a feel for the
        // complexity and risk involved, and
        // the architectural constraints that this project is working
        // under. Try to present alternatives and show relationships to
        // existing or proposed projects/standards.
     4.2. Bug/RFE Number(s):
        // List any Bug(s)/RFE(s) which will be addressed by this proposed
change.
        // Provide links to the Issue tracker Bug(s)/RFE(s)where possible
     4.3. In Scope:
        // Aspects that are in scope of this proposal if not obvious from
above.
```

```
// Aspects that are out of scope if not obvious from above.
     4.5. Interfaces:
        // Interfaces are a major part of Architectural review.
        // Commands, Files, Directory Layout, Ports, DTD/Schema, admin
tools,
        // config files, APIs, CLIs, and almost anything that is externally
        // observable is an interface. In 1-Pager it is necessary to
document
        // any interface that can be used by external projects and
products.
        // Documented public interfaces must be assigned a stability level.
        // Some commonly used Stability levels in prior projects are:
        //
        //
               Stable
                         : Widely used public interface. changed very
rarely.
        //
               Standard : Defined by a standards body (e.g: JDBCv3). Rare
but
        //
                           incompatible clarifications and changes could
occur
        //
                           in a standard. Product will specify version of
std
                           supported. J2SE, J2EE and WS* are classified
        //
                           as Standard.
        //
        //
               Evolving : Subject to carefully controlled but possibly
        //
                           incompatible change at a major or minor release.
        //
                           When a change is made all efforts will be made
                           to address incompatiblity and migration. All
        //
        //
                           incompatibilities will need to be reviewed
                           and approved by as-ccc@sun.com.
        //
        //
               Unstable : Early access, subject to unrestricted degree of
                           change. A few App Server interfaces are
classified
                           as Unstable. Docs must call out exported
unstable
        //
                           interfaces. Be wary of importing Unstable
interfaces.
               External : Defined external to GlassFish Application
        //
Server,
        //
                           but not by a Standards body. Suitable for
describing
                           other freeware, open source interfaces.
        // http://www.opensolaris.org/os/community/arc/policies/interface-
taxonomy/
        // describes the permitted interface taxonomy.
        4.5.1 Exported Interfaces
```

// Disclose all interfaces that this project exports.

4.4. Out of Scope:

```
// Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Former Stability (if changing):
       // Comments:
       // Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Former Stability (if changing):
       // Comments:
   4.5.2 Imported interfaces
   // Disclose interfaces this project imports.
       // Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.
       // Comments:
       // Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.
       // Comments:
   4.5.3 Other interfaces (Optional)
       // Any private interfaces that may be of interest?
       // Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.
       // Comments:
       // Interface:
       // Stability:
       // Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.
       // Comments:
4.6. Doc Impact:
   // List any Documentation (man pages, manuals, service guides...)
   // that will be impacted by this proposal.
4.7. Admin/Config Impact:
   // How will this change impact the administration of the product?
   // Identify changes to GUIs, CLI, agents, plugins...
4.8. HA Impact:
   // What new requirements does this proposal place on the High
   // Availability or Clustering aspects of the component?
4.9. I18N/L10N Impact:
   // Does this proposal impact internationalization or
```

```
// localization?
    4.10. Packaging & Delivery:
       // What packages, clusters or metaclusters does this proposal
       // impact? What is its impact on install/upgrade?
     4.11. Security Impact:
       // How does this proposal interact with security-related APIs
       // or interfaces? Does it rely on any Java policy or platform
       // user/permissions implication? If the feature exposes any
       // new ports, Or any similar communication points which may
       // have security implications, note these here.
    4.12. Compatibility Impact
       // Incompatible changes to interfaces that others expect
       // to be stable may cause other parts of application server or
       // other dependent products to break.
       // Discuss changes to the imported or exported interfaces.
       // Describe how an older version of the interface would
        // be handled.
       // List any requirements on upgrade tool and migration tool.
     4.13. Dependencies:
       // List all dependencies that this proposal has on other
       // proposals, components or products. Include interface
       // specifics above in the interfaces section;
       // LIST dependency component version requirements here.
5. Reference Documents:
       // List of related documents, if any (BugID's, RFP's, papers).
       // Explain how/where to obtain the documents, and what each
       // contains, not just their titles.
```

6. Schedule:

6.1. Projected Availability:

// Dates in appropriate precision (quarters, years)