One Pager: Usage Profile Support for Application Server

Table of Contents (Status: Draft, Ready for Review, **Reviewed**, ARC'ed)

 <u>1. Introduction</u>

1.1 Project/Component Working Name

1.2 Name(s) and e-mail address of Document Author(s)/Supplier

1.3. Date of This Document

2. Project Summary

2.1 Project Description 2.2 Risks and Assumptions

3. Problem Summary

3.1 Problem Area 3.2 Justification

4. Technical Description

4.1 Details
4.2 Bugs/RFE's
4.3 Scope
4.4 Out-of-scope
4.5 Interfaces
4.6 Documentation Impact
4.7 Configuration/administration Impact
4.8 High Availability Impact
4.9 Internationalization
4.10 Packaging
4.11 Security Impact
4.12 Compatibility
4.12 Dependencies

5. References

6. Schedule

7. Document History

1. Introduction

1.1. Project/Component Working Name

GlassFish V2/Sun Java System Application Server 9.1.

1.2. Name(s) and e-mail address of Document Author(s)/Supplier

Kedar Mhaswade (Kedar.Mhaswade@Sun.Com)

1.3. Date of This Document

09/11/2006.

2. Project Summary

This project discusses the support for application server usage profiles (*profiles*, for short). identifies how a particular kind of users use the application server software. Once a profile support for the same planned for this release will take care of relevant *out-of-the box* confiserver runtime such that its user-experience is in sync with the expectation.

2.1. Project Description

Application Server Software is used in a few significantly different ways for significantly *developer* might want to try out the latest technology advancements in and out of the Java relatively painless manner. In such cases, the general security requirements are not that stri the server however, can make significant difference to the user-experience. A *deployer* on to deploy the state-of-the-art Java EE applications in a production environment that has to Although the same software can be *manually tuned* to specific requirements using adminis *the-box configuration* (that reasonably meets user expectations) that matters and that is the

Traditionally, this kind of tweaking of the configuration of the runtime was achieved throu *editions* of the software. For example, the Platform Edition of Sun's Application Server was developers and Enterprise Edition was geared towards large enterprises. However, this is to realize the aim to use the software in a particular manner and has following limitations:

• It creates unnecessary barricades in users' perception of capabilities of the applicatio problem worsens when virtually all of the source-code is *open*. It becomes complex *distributions* in that case and then limit a particular distribution of the open-source p that you can do with it.

• It unnecessarily associates application server *binaries* and configurable domain *runt* manner. For example, all the distinct server runtimes (domains) created in a Platforn functionally *equivalent*. In other words, in a given distribution of application server configure two runtimes, one of which is tuned for developers and other for deployed demarcation that is not sustainable for long.

What is needed is a single distribution of application server software (that fully implementalis capable of behaving according to its expected primary usage, based on its configuration to be a step in that direction. In this release, the foundations for this will be laid. **The exact deliverables of this project with server software distributions is rather unspecified at** for this is the backward compatibility requirements of application server and various environ Operating System, Java Enterprise System etc.) in which it integrates. It might then be the is available on a particular distribution of application server, but it would make sense to *no* far as possible.

It is important to distinguish the intended scope of profiles in GlassFish V2 and their (that extensions. Going ahead, it is required that application server runtime is configured to a parather than a *particular kind of users*. This is expected to optimize the performance (startug etc.) of application server while hosting applications of a given kind. An example would b where the users intend to use the runtime to develop/deploy only the web applications. To demand usage of application server, a more modular structure of application server compo clearly *out-of-scope* for this release (but it is in line with the general direction we seem to t points of view should work in concert and should not interfere with each other.

A key enabler of this feature is the careful distinction between binaries and runtime config application server has been promoting, historically. When the bits are put on the disk, wha of an administrative domain which optionally provides a *pre-configured runtime* for the us administrators are *already* able to exploit the same set of bits to suit their specific needs. Tl sound foundation.

2.2. Risks and Assumptions

There are no major technical risks with this project. A moderate risk is with respect to mak application server implemented as *life cycle modules*, configurable. These are pluggable co server which are very closely related with the notion of profiles. In theory, a particular life made pluggable and should be enabled/disabled depending upon its association with respe however, this is a stretch goal for this release.

A moderate risk (non-technical) is with respect to the distribution of application server soft coupling is desired between usage profiles and application server editions, an unspecified confusion and might result in lack of interest. A detailed discussion of various bundles and however beyond the scope of this document. A recommendation is made below in this reg For GlassFish V2, a set of *predefined profiles* will be provided. There is no provision of *c* release.

3. Problem Summary

3.1. Problem Area

Several questions have been asked and suggestions have been given to improve the devek GlassFish. This specification is a vehicle to accumulate all such suggestions and formalize The basic problem that is being attempted to solve is how to improve the response of appli to a specific use.

3.2. Justification

This is the best time to offer such a feature, mainly to drive the GlassFish adoption efforts. which GlassFish platform is available, it makes sense to provide *usage-profile support* for

4. Technical Description

4.1. Details

4.1.1 Presenting Profiles to Administrators/Developers

The proposed manner in which a user comes across the profiles is using the asadmin c command with a --profile option. An example invocation of this is as follows:

```
asadmin create-domain --user admin --adminport 4848 --pro
dev-domain
```

The domain here symbolizes an application server runtime that is suitable for both server a applications.

The valid values that the option profile accepts are: developer, cluster and e values are case insensitive. What follows describes in detail the *contract* of this command.

- The value *developer* implies that the domain should be configured (as *best* as it coul development environment. The value *cluster* implies a user should be able to create server instances. The value *enterprise* implies that a user intends to use the given do environment for large-scale deployments. The details of these configurations that *de* in <u>4.1.2</u> below.
- Actually, there is no need for a separate *cluster* profile because it is logical for devel

clusters incurring only marginal overhead. However, it is the current state of implen a separate profile which mostly resembles the *developer* profile, except that the clus instances could be created. The main reason that we are introducing this profile is to the server-side Pluggable FeatureFactory implementation for GlassFish V2 release. two profiles are expected to merge. That will happen when additional capabilities in *life cycle modules*) to support cluster deployments can be easily turned off if needed the *developer* profile is a degenerate case of the *cluster* profile.

In addition to the above, two *classic* profiles are introduced. It might be possible to remove ahead. The need for classic profiles arises because we are introducing profiles for the first <u>section on installers</u> for details.

4.1.2 Comparison of Various Profiles w.r.t. Configuration Parameters

The two tables $(\underline{1}, \underline{2})$ below describe the gist of this project. The <u>first table</u> gives a glimpse a domain for a given profile should look like, whereas the <u>second table</u> provides the ration configuration parameter.

It is important to note that even if most of domain's configuration is stored in the well-know domain.xml (here is the <u>schema</u>), there are other configuration files that will have to chang profiles.

Configuration/Other	Value for	Value for	V
Parameter	Developer Profile	Cluster Profile	E
Security Store	JKS	JKS	N
Quick Startup	true (enabled)	false (disabled)	fa
Java Platform Security Manager	false (disabled)	false (disabled)	trı
JVM	 Hotspot Client VM -Xmx= -Xms= • 	 Hotspot Client VM -Xmx= -Xms= • 	
Server Pluggable Feature Factory	PE	EE	E
Default Static Ports	 HTTP (8080) HTTPS(8181) IIOP (3700) IIOP/S(3820) 	 HTTP (8080) HTTPS(8181) IIOP (3700) IIOP/S(3820) 	

Debugger Port(9009/disabled by default))	 IIOP/S/MA(3920) JMX (8686) Admin/HTTP(4848) JMS Broker (7676) 	 IIOP/S/MA(3920) JMX (8686) Admin/HTTPS(4848) JMS Broker (7676) 	
Admin URL	http://host:admin-port	http://host:admin-port	ht
HTTP Access Logging	false (disabled)	false (disabled)	trı
Heart-beat (GMS)	false (disabled)	false (disabled) true (enabled)	trı
<u>JMS Implementation</u> <u>Type</u>	EMBEDDED	EMBEDDED for DAS, LOCAL for instances	E L
Default Startup of Domain	Foreground	Foreground	B
(Background/Foreground)	Background	Background	T
Ability to Create Cluster/Standalone Java EE Engines	Not available	Available	A
Session Replication Mechanism	Not available	Available, in memory.	A

Table 1: Distinction within Profiles

Parameter	Few details about what it means	Reasons to make <i>profile-defining</i> -
Security Store	Server uses at least a key-store and trust- store each to deal with its certificates and keys. There are two main types of stores: JKS and NSS . These differ in terms of formats and tools that know how to configure the stores.	A particular orga requirements aro have processes b configure their se example. Usually (e.g. Java ES) pr security store con
		Traditionally, the Startup gives an

Quick Startup	This is an Java-NIO based implementation that is part of on-demand services <i>framework</i> .	that perceived sta than its actual sta Traditionally, thi startup of admini the non-administ <i>enterprise</i> domai way.
Java Platform Security Manager	A security manager controls the privileges of a particular piece of Java code in accordance with an administrator-defined security policy.	Developers are <i>n</i> <i>CodeSources</i> and the security mana developers are lil behavior of their (seemingly) unne platform security all over).
JVM	By default, we use Sun's JVM's. As such, it is obvious to leverage the optimizations available in the JVM itself, especially the Hotspot VM.	JVM configurations significantly different certain situations VM parameters of making users readocumentation.
Server Pluggable Feature		
Default Static Ports		
Admin URL		
HTTP Access Logging		
Heartbeat enablement for a Cluster (GMs)		
JMS Implementation (SJS MQ Broker) Type		
Default Startup of Domain (Background/Foreground)	As of now, the only way to start a domain is asadmin start-domain. By default, the domain is started in the background letting the user know the location of the file where the server log is redirected. See a <u>dependency</u> here.	Competing production have this capability to see the server rather than in a fill In an enterprise s can only be started such it is always

Ability to Create Cluster/Standalone Java EE Engines	Determines whether there is a runtime support to create additional clustered or not Java EE Engines.	A pivotal differe are cluster-aware
Session Replication Mechanism	A mechanism to replicate the <i>session state</i> across various <i>clustered</i> server instances to provide reasonable availability.	It is needed that applications are 1 TBD: Need mo

Table 2: Why a particular parameter is a *profile-defining-parameter*

4.1.3 Distinction Among Profiles

This specification does *not* define any specific rules regarding defining a profile. It (definin outcome of *need*. There are various items to consider while defining a profile and hence it rules around this. In general, it is subjective whether to create a new profile or to make a set a part of an existing profile.

This brings up an interesting point: Why have 2 profiles like developer and enterp: and cluster? Can these be subsumed under one profile?

A reasonable explanation can be provided along the following lines:

- At the center of it, a profile is almost completely defined by a set of configuration pa *defining parameters* of a profile. Two different profiles have different values for *mc parameters*.
- A profile should result in optimized performance, expected user experience *right of* creation configuration changes to achieve its promise. A few changes here and there profile. Thus a profile must represent a *class of users*.

Food for thought:

Do developers Need to Create Application Server Clusters? (Do we need yet another runtime support for clusters)?

4.1.4 Profiles and Administrative Clients

Profiles is a server-side phenomenon. It is not expected that admin *clients* know the profile behave differently. Here is how various admin clients will be impacted by profiles:

• asadmin client: The *asadmin* client is designed in such a way that it can help an adn domain runtimes (with unknown usage profiles) from a single shell. In other words, agnostic and as such, it cannot (and should not) present a command set that is applic

profile. For example, if there is asadmin is directed to a domain with developer prof asadmin to hide a command like create-cluster. The command set is thus no

- AMX client: AMX is the programmatic API. We have exactly one API that caters f server administration. This is true for previous releases. Thus, by definition, AMX i For this release, as said elsewhere, there will be no AMX support to realize a particu be used after the domain has been created and started.
- Admin GUI client: This is implemented as a web application deployed to a particula administered. The current plan is to query the administrative MBeans about certain a differences when certain support is not present in the MBeans. This, however is ind profiles.

4.1.5 Profiles and Application Server Installers and GlassFish Bundles

As of now, we have following basic distribution mechanisms (this excludes the Java EE variations thereof. The Java EE SDK distributions are based on PE distribution).

Following has been decided at the architecture forum in this regard:

• PE user and Java EE SDK user should be able to create clusters. In other words, in available.

Id	Bundle/Distribution	Comments	Default Profile
1	Standalone Application Server PE Bundle.	Base Application Server.	developer
2	Standalone Application Server EE Bundle.	Base Application Server + Enterprise capable portion + Load balancer plugin + HADB software.	elassic-ee enterprise
3	GlassFish Download Zip	Similar to 1, with single XML (setup.xml) for configuration.	developer (User has to run ant setup.xml).
4	GlassFish Download Zip	Similar to 3 with additional support for clusters/instances. Single XML called setup- cluster.xml for configuration.	cluster (User has to run ant setup- cluster.xml).

-	1 L		
5	Platform Specific Packages and Java ES Installer.	These is a mode where the application server software is distributed in terms of defined set of packages and post installation scripts. The other software that integrates into Java ES is leveraged, rather than bundling it ourselves unlike <u>2</u> .	enterprise

To take care of these differences in the way we bundle the application server software, the <u>asaenv.conf[bat]</u> has been made. Ideally, a pluggable architecture that lets users download is a model we should embrace.

One of the goals for GlassFish V2 is provision of one bundle that has capabilities of cluste will be one bundle by merging bundles $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{4}$. The setup.xml will set up the developer p cluster.xml will set up the cluster profile.

Following should be noted in this regard:

- Only a single set of templates will be used for all types of installations. These templa dir/lib/install/templates/ee. The portion "ee" in the name of this path is restored to m with various environments in which application server is integrated. This should not particular jar (referred to in a particular template) is not available in given distributio functionality will not be available.
- In order to retain the user expectation of domain creation process, two additional prowhich will be the default profiles for respective installations. These are *classic-pe* ar will be the default profile for PE installation, whereas classic-ee will be the default profile for PE installation.
- The values for various <u>profile-defining parameters</u> in the case of classic-pe and class because they are defined by the classic templates that are available in PE and EE ins
- It is desirable that the standalone installers for PE and EE provide a choice to the us the profile for the default domain. Note that the default domain is created by the inst users installing the product get to choose the profile for the default domain. It is exp default profiles for each installer should be. Thus,
 - PE installer has a choice among developer, cluster and classicp-pe.
 - EE installer has a choice among developer, cluster, enterprise and classic-ee.

4.1.6 Profiles: Noteworthy

Here is something that you should know, about this implementation of profiles:

• A running domain does not know anything about its own profile. For example, a dc

asadmin create-domain --profile enterprise ... does *not* runtime about specifics of this profile. Coupling it loosely like this has several benef making migration within profiles easy. If a user has forgotten about what profile a p and Admin GUI will have ways to identify possible profile of a domain but it is *not* domain. The shortcoming of doing it this way is that the startup of the server cannot behavior, since configuration does not have an explicit indication of the profile of th [TBD: Discussion Required].

- In general, a user is supposed to *know* the profile of a domain. A profile is a user's v configuration.
- It is quite possible that user reconfigures an existing domain to behave in a profile d profile. From this standpoint, a profile is a fluid property of a domain.
- An important outcome of this discussion is that the so-called ClientPluggableFeature The way the asadmin client deals with the domain creation will be determined comp

DAS Instance 1 | --- | cfg1 | DAS (NA1) Imstance 2 Imstance 2

4.1.7 More about Enterprise and Cluster Profiles

The enterprise architecture (schematic) of the application server is shown <u>above</u>. **DAS is t** that manages other Java EE Engines (in that domain) that host the user applications. As an additional costs that are arguably unnecessary) DAS is a fully functional, compliant Java I can choose (though they rarely, if at all, do so) to deploy applications to the DAS The esse enterprise profile is the provision of standalone and clustered server instances. As shown i has a *configuration* (shared or not) that is based on a *Template Configuration*. Note that T applies not only to the existing server instances, but also to the instances that might be creatist own configuration (shown as *das-cfg*) that is independent of the Template Configuration

DAS:das-cfg Instances:Template Configuration

Configuration. It applies to **both** unless specified otherwise. A significant outcome of this

• When an administrator chooses to create a domain with Cluster/Enterprise Profile, a instances is also reasonably determined. This further reduces the administrative over those server instances when enterprise deployments occur.

4.2. Bug/RFE Number(s)

These are indicated throughout the document where applicable. This feature however, is a discussion threads on GlassFish Discussion Forum.

4.3. In Scope

All that has been said about realizing usage profiles in Section <u>4.1</u> is in scope for this projecomposition of any defined profile is based on experiential knowledge. It is a learning prolearn *over time* that a particular configuration setting is suitable for a particular usage.

4.4. Out of Scope

Since this entire topic is of general interest and naturally has various interpretations, it is in this project is *not*. Following things are not in scope for this project, at least for this release

- There is no programmatic (AMX) configuration support or Admin GUI (Console) s for this release. The only means to manifest profiles shall remain the asadmin cor domain.
- There is no migration planned for an existing domain that's created (or not) with a p planned that the profile of a domain can be changed after it is created. Thus, this fea conflict with *the upgrade story for application server*. It is to be noted however that to provide a reasonable upgrade path, in following releases.
- Although the concept of profiles can easily be extended to take care of custom need provide such a support for this release. GlassFish V2 will only provide a profile fou integrated into the product.

4.5. Interfaces

• <u>http://www.opensolaris.org/os/community/arc/policies/interface-taxonomy/</u> describe taxonomy.

4.5.1 Exported Interfaces

Interface	Stability	Former Stability	Comments
	,	(11	

		changing)	
asadmin create-domain [profile (enterpriselclusterldeveloper)] A new option named profile that takes one of the following values: "developer" "cluster" "enterprise"	EVOLVING	NA	Exact changes that configuration for a change without not
A text-file named: asadminenv.conf on all platforms	EVOLVING	NA	This file is used to c care of the interplay application server in script will <i>use this f</i> is expected that use as it affects the insta Note that the conten to define the default asadmin commands
The default location of asadminenv.conf	EVOLVING	NA	Standalone Installer [install-dir]/config Java ES Installers (] /opt/SUNWappserv
The format of asadminenv.conf	EVOLVING	NA	The format is that o is supposed to conta '=' separated name- beginning with '#' i
			These can be enhar For this release, A Vanilla PE Sola # These are install-v AS_ADMIN_POR AS_ADMIN_PRO AS_ADMIN_SEC A Vanilla EE Sola

The contents of asadminenv.conf	EVOLVING	NA	# These are install- AS_ADMIN_POR AS_ADMIN_PRO AS_ADMIN_SEC
			A Vanilla GlassFi
			# These are install- AS_ADMIN_POR AS_ADMIN_PRO AS_ADMIN_SEC
			Note that the defausers would get is domain.
			Respective installer this file appropriate

4.5.2 Imported interfaces

Interface	Stability	Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.	Comments

4.5.3 Other interfaces (Optional)

Interface	Stability	Exporting Project: Name, Specification or other Link.	Comments

4.6. Doc Impact

There will be considerable impact of this project on documentation. The following will be

- Admin Guide.
- asadmin create-domain manpage.

4.7. Admin/Config Impact

This has been dealt with elsewhere $(\underline{1}, \underline{2})$. If this <u>dependency</u> is resolved to have an indical configuration, the configuration schema might change (although we can leverage a <propriate accommodate that.

4.8. HA Impact

Not applicable.

4.9. I18N/L10N Impact

No considerable impact above and beyond product impact.

4.10. Packaging & Delivery

Here is the packaging impact:

Package	Changes	Com
SUNWasu sun-asu-9.1.rpm SUNWasu.zip	\$installdir/lib/install/templates/developer/domain.xml \$installdir/lib/install/templates/cluster/domain.xml \$installdir/lib/install/templates/enterprise/domain.xml	These used doma

For installation impact, see <u>here (section 4.1.5)</u>.

4.11. Security Impact

Not applicable.

4.12. Compatibility Impact

// Incompatible changes to interfaces that others expect

// to be stable may cause other parts of application server or

// other dependent products to break.

// Discuss changes to the imported or exported interfaces.// Describe how an older version of the interface would// be handled.

// List any requirements on upgrade tool and migration tool.

4.13. Dependencies

No dependencies on other projects. Some subtle dependencies with respect to positioning.

5. Reference Documents

Refer to Product Documentation for Sun's Application Servers, especially the admin guide

6. Schedule

6.1. Projected Availability

Fully functional implementation by Milestone 3 - GlassFish V2. Refer to overall milestone

7. Document History

Date	Author, Comment
06 January 2007	Kedar Mhaswade. Removed classic profiles, cleaned asadminenv.conf related entries. Also, updated GlassFish distribution information. Some changes to fit the current implementation direction.
01 October 2006	Kedar Mhaswade. Added classic profiles, clarified templates.
14 September 2006	Kedar Mhaswade. Added various material for profile-defining parameters, installers, asadminenv.conf interface.
11 September 2006	Kedar Mhaswade, created.
	Date 06 January 2007 01 October 2006 14 September 2006 11 September 2006